

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

TADES IN				
	,	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		0042 4900002/BWE/ALS	1532
09/829,066	04/10/2001	Paul E. Nisson	0942.4800002/RWE/ALS	
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW Y	ORK AVENUE, N.W., S	LU, FRANK WEI MIN		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3934			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	12
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/829,066	NISSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frank Lu	1634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for	REPLY REPLY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH	I(S) FROM			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace to reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) downward apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) <u> </u>		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11.	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.			
-	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-71 is/are pending in the a					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ti ta sita a sa sa ina ma a a f				
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-71</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.				
	on Papers	or .				
9)∟	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	en. ented or h)□ objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
ا ۱۰/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r					
12)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
_	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
- 7	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	provisional application has been	received.			
Attachme						
1) Not	rice of References Cited (PTO-892) Tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) ed Action .			

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Location of Application

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1634.

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 41, drawn to a method for denaturing or separating double-stranded nucleic acid molecules, classified in class 436, subclass 94.
 - II. Claims 9-40, drawn to a method of recovering one or more desired target nucleic acid molecule from a population of nucleic acid molecules, classified in class 436, subclass 94.
 - III. Claims 42-71, drawn to a method of enriching one or more desired target nucleic acid molecules from a population of nucleic acid molecules, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Groups I and II are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group II such as one or more haptenylated probes in step (a) of claim 9 is not required for Group I.

Art Unit: 1634

Groups I and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group III such as step (c) of claim 42 is not required for Group I.

Groups II and III are distinct and independent inventions in that they are directed to methods which comprise different method steps. As a result, different and distinct searches will have to be performed. For example, the search required for Group II such as one or more haptenylated probes in step (a) of claim 9 is not required for Group III while the search required for Group III such as step (c) of claim 42 is not required for Group II.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) one or more amino acid denaturants (claims 1-4 and 6-41)
- (2) imidazole (claims 1-4 and 6-41)
- (3) one or more amino acid denaturants plus imidazole (claims 1-4 and 6-41)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 42-71.

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) one or more amino acids (claims 2, 4, 41, and 51-55)
- (2) polyamine acids (claims 2, 3, 41, and 51)
- (3) one or more amino acids plus polyamine acids (claims 2, 3, 41, and 51)

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1, 5-40, 42-50, and 56-71.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) single stranded DNA (claims 10-13)
- (2) cDNA library (claim 14)

Page 6

Art Unit: 1634

(3) double-stranded DNA (claims 18-22)

Application/Control Number: 09/829,05/6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1-4, 6-9, 15-17, and 23-71.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) circular (claim 11)

Art Unit: 1634

- (2) linear (claim 12)
- (3) plasmids (claim 13)
- (4) cosmids (claim 13)
- (5) phagemids (claim 13)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims 1-4, 6-10, and 14-71.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1634

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is

Frank Lu

April 18, 2002

(703) 605-1237.